

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/846,096	04/30/2001	Xiang Lu	476-1998	3967	
7590 06/21/2004			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
William M. Lee, Jr.			TRAN, DZUNG D		
Lee, Mann, Sm	ith, McWilliams, Sweene	ey & Ohlson	p		
P.O. Box 2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60690-2786			2633	7	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/846,096	LU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dzung D Tran	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUN	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication.)) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on amendment filed on 04/02/20	04.				
3) Since this application is in condition	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)	re withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any object	• , ,	' '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to		g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim (a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the certif	documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have bee nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/846,096

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 2. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 21 claims "software", which are computer programs (e.g. see Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, 10th edition). Computer program are non-statuary as they are not "acts" being performed (see MPEP 2106).
- 3. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 22 claims "a sequence of signals" which is non-statutory.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-13, 15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph as being ambiguous because it contains two statutory classes (means and method). See EX PARTE LYELL, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (bd PA & I.1990).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/846,096

Art Unit: 2633

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1, 3-13, 15, and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu et al. US patent no. 6,233,072.

Regarding claims 1, 11-13, 19 and 23, Liu discloses a wavelength division multiplexed (col. 9, lines 6-8) optical network having nodes (106 of figures 4A, 4B, 4C) coupled by links (line 1, line 2,... line N), to enable wavelengths to be routed across the network, the nodes being arranged to carry out a restoration process to re-route one or more of the wavelengths (abstract, col. 4, lines 55-67), the restoration process having the steps of: after failure sending messages (col. 3, lines 42-46) between the nodes and controller to dynamically determine possible restoration routes (abstract, col. 4, lines 55-67), the LTE or node is capable of determining the facility type of channel failures (col. 3, lines 42-44) (i.e. in a fully distributed search process), and sending an alarm to the OCCS to reroute the failure of the line (col. 3, lines 44-46) (i.e. re-routing each wavelength along a chosen one of the possible restoration routes); said nodes being arranged to made the choice of restoration route on the basic of optical parameters of the possible restoration routes, said optical parameters having been collected by said messages sent between the nodes (col. 3, lines 33-46).

Regarding claims 3, 4, 6, 10, 18 and 20, Liu discloses the nodes being arranged to make the choice of restoration route on the basis of optical parameters of the

Application/Control Number: 09/846,096

Art Unit: 2633

remainder of the path for the given wavelength (e.g. the LTE capable of determining the facility type of channel failures and switch to a protect channel when a channel fail (col. 3, lines 33-46)).

Regarding claim 5, Liu further discloses that the nodes being arranged to switch traffic from one wavelength to a different wavelength, and the restoration process having the step of choosing a wavelength within that route (i.e. the LTE can switch to a protect channel when a channel fail (col. 3, lines 33-46)).

Regarding claims 7 and 9, Liu further discloses the nodes being arranged to include spare capacity for restoration (same as reserve bandwidth on the restoration routes) (see abstract).

Regarding claim 8, Liu further discloses LTE capable of determining the facility type of channel failures and switch to a protect channel when a channel fail (col. 3, lines 33-46) (same as to make a separate search for possible restoration paths) and sending an alarm to the OCCS to reroute the failure of the line (col. 3, lines 44-46) (i.e. re-routing each wavelength along a chosen one of the possible restoration routes).

Regarding claim 15, Liu further discloses the optical parameters comprising loss of light (inherently as loss of power) (col. 11, lines 1, 7).

Regarding claim 21, Liu further discloses the LTE processor 216, 218 (e.g. software that drive processor 216, 218) in the node that can obtain information about the system and carry out a restoration process (col. 9, lines 33-51).

Regarding claim 22, Liu further discloses the system transmitting the data signal (col. 5, lines 1-31).

Application/Control Number: 09/846,096 Page 5

Art Unit: 2633

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 3-13, 15, and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anand et al. Dynamic establishment of protection paths in WDM networks, IEEE 2000 in view of Croslin US patent no. 5,943,314.

Regarding claims 1, 11-13, 19 and 23, discloses a wavelength division multiplexed (abstract) optical network having nodes (node 1, node 2, node 3... node 7 of figure 1) coupled by links (figure 1), to enable wavelengths to be routed across the network, the nodes being arranged to carry out a restoration process to re-route one or more of the wavelengths (abstract, page 199), the restoration process having the steps of: after failure the node is dynamic establish a protection paths, that is, both the route and wavelength assigned to the protection path are allowed to change in a dynamic manner so as to accommodate new connection request (abstract, page 198). Anand differs from claims 1 and 11 of the invention in that Anand does not specific discloses the nodes being arranged to made the choice of restoration route on the basic of optical parameters of the possible restoration routes, said optical parameters having been collected by said messages sent between the nodes. However, Anand discloses a

Application/Control Number: 09/846,096

Art Unit: 2633

node including an optical wavelength router (see figure 1) that send messages to one another for establishing the alternate route when a failure occur (abstract, page 198). Furthermore, Croslin discloses a system for establishing a restoral route having nodes (figure 1A, elements A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H), when a failure is detected, a node is designated as the arbitrator node for building the restoral route and the nodes of the network send messages to one another to effect the establishing of a restoral route in a distributed and dynamic manner (abstract, col. 3, line 47 to col.6, line 9). Therefore, if it is not inherent, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention was made to include the teaching of Croslin in the system of Anand in order to establish a restoral route dynamically, which need less time to establish a restoral route than the pre-planned restoral route (col. 1, line 46-52).

Regarding claims 3, 4, 6, 10, 18 and 20, Anand discloses each wavelength router nodes being arranged to make the choice of restoration route on the basis of optical parameters of the remainder of the path for the given wavelength (figure 1, page 199).

Regarding claim 5, Anand further discloses that the nodes being arranged to switch traffic from one wavelength to a different wavelength, and the restoration process having the step of choosing a wavelength within that route (figure 1, page 199).

Regarding claims 7 and 9, Anand further discloses the nodes being arranged to include spare capacity for restoration (same as reserve bandwidth on the restoration routes) (see abstract, figure 1, page 202).

Application/Control Number: 09/846,096 Page 7

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 8, Anand further discloses wavelength router nodes being arranged to make a separate search for possible restoration paths for each wavelength to be restore (page202).

Regarding claim 15, Croslin further discloses the optical parameters comprising AIS (which is well known in the art for indicating the loss of power) (col. 10, lines 60-66).

Regarding claim 21, Croslin further discloses the node include a computer for controlling the processing of external commands and for switching of the transmission lines (e.g. software that drive computer) (col. 7, lines 1-9).

Regarding claim 22, Croslin further discloses the system transmitting the data signal (abstract, page 199).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-0932.

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (703) 305-4729.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

M.R. SEDIGHIAN

Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 2633